

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/828,699	04/21/2004	Karen M. Cheves	1001.1705101	5388
28/03 7590 07/00/2008 CROMPTON, SEAGER & TUFTE, LLC 1221 NICOLLET AVENUE SUITE 800 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55403-2420			EXAMINER	
			GILBERT, ANDREW M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	0,111.001002120		3767	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/03/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

# Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)			
10/828,699	CHEVES ET AL.			
Examiner	Art Unit			
ANDREW M. GILBERT	3767			

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS.

- WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed
- after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

<ul> <li>Faiture to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).</li> <li>Any reply received by the Office later than there months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any earned pattern term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).</li> </ul>				
Status				
1)⊠ Res	sponsive to communication(s) filed on 31 March 2008.			
2a)☐ This	s action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
clos	sed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition o	of Claims			
4)⊠ Clai	im(s) <u>4 and 15</u> is/are pending in the application.			
4a) (	Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5)∏ Clai	im(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Clai	im(s) <u>4, 15</u> is/are rejected.			
7)∐ Clai	im(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.				

## Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 21 April 2004 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a) All b) Some * c) None of:		
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> </ol>		

- Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.
- Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(	s
-------------	---

Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date
information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/06)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (FTC-152)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date .	6) Other:

Art Unit: 3761

#### DETAILED ACTION

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 3/31/2008 has been entered.

## Acknowledgements

- 2. This office action is in response to the reply filed 3/31/2008.
- 3. Claim 25 was cancelled. Claims 4 and 15 was amended.
- 4. Thus, claims 4 and 15 are pending for examination.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filled in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filled in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filled under the treaty defined in section 35′(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filled in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Grayzel et al (6942680). Grayzel et al discloses a cutting balloon catheter (10), comprising: an elongate catheter shaft (54); a balloon (14) coupled to the shaft, the balloon having a

Application/Control Number: 10/828,699

Art Unit: 3761

first inflated configuration and a second non-inflated configuration, wherein the balloon has a plurality of wings formed therein when in the second configuration (col 4, lns 1-6); a cutting blade (16) for severing or breaking up a lesion affixed to the balloon (col 3, lns 59-64; col 4, lns 12-22; col 6, lns 54-55, 61-65; col 7, lns 28-31), the cutting blade including means for cutting and means for gripping thereon and having a longitudinal axis (col 3, lns 59-64; col 4, lns 12-22; col 6, lns 54-55, 61-65; col 7, lns 28-31); wherein the means for cutting and means for gripping are defined by a series of undulations (16) on the cutting blade; and wherein the undulations curve from side-to-side relative to the longitudinal axis (16; col 10; Figs 6a-10g).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claim 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Grayzel et al (6942680) in view of Lary (6306151). Grayzel discloses the invention substantially as claimed except for disclosing that the elongate shaft has a second lumen. Lary teaches that it is known to have an elongate shaft having a second lumen (17, 19) for the purpose of having separate lumens for a guidewire and to inflate/deflate the balloon. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the elongate shaft as taught by Grayzel with the second

Art Unit: 3761

lumen as taught by Lary for the purpose of having separate lumens for a guidewire and to inflate/deflate the balloon.

- Claims 4 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over
   Vigil et al (5320634) in view of Parodi (5250070).
- 10. Vigil et al discloses a medical device, comprising: an elongate shaft (14) having a proximal end, a distal end, a first lumen (14) extending therethrough, and a second lumen (col 4, lns 63-64) extending therethrough; a balloon (12) coupled to the shaft, the balloon having a first inflated configuration and a second non-inflated configuration, wherein the balloon has a plurality of wings formed therein when in the second configuration (Fig 3b); and one or more cutting members affixed to the balloon (31), the one or more cutting members for severing or breaking up a lesion each having a longitudinal axis (Figs 3a, 4a-b), wherein the one or more cutting members each include a traction region that is configured to improve traction between the balloon and a target site (Figs 3a, 4a-b; and previous discussion of "traction region" in paragraphs 4-7 of the Final office action mailed on 11/1/2006). In reference to claim 15, Vigil et al additionally discloses a cutting blade (31) affixed to the balloon (Fig 3a-b, 4a-b), the cutting blade including means for cutting and means for gripping thereon and having a longitudinal axis (Fig 3a-b, 4a-b).
- 11. However, Vigil et al fails to expressly disclose wherein the cutting member/blade has a traction region/cutting blade defined by a series of undulations curving from side to side relative to the longitudinal axis.

Art Unit: 3761

12. Parodi teaches that it is known to have the traction region/cutting blade defined by a series of undulations curving from side to side relative to the longitudinal axis (Fig 6c, summary, col 3, Ins 2-col 4, Ins 11) for the purpose of providing a nonskidding balloon surface that minimizes trauma to the whole endothelium (Summary, col 4, Ins 3-11). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the traction region as taught by Vigil et al with the traction region defined by a series of undulations curving from side to side relative to the longitudinal axis in the cutting members as taught by Parodi for the purpose of a nonskidding balloon surface that minimizes trauma to the whole endothelium (Summary, col 4, Ins 3-11). Additionally, see Response to Arguments below.

# Response to Arguments

- Applicant's arguments filed 3/31/2008have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 14. The Applicant argues that:
  - i. Parodi does not teach a cutting member or cutting blade for severing or breaking up a lesion. Parodi teaches features that are designed to reduce damage and/or cutting. The teachings cannot be applied to a cutting member/black for severing or breaking up a lesion (Remarks, pg 5)
- 15. In response to the Applicant's arguments (i), the Examiner notes that Parodi teaches that the undulating cutting members (Fig 6c) contact only a small part of the

Application/Control Number: 10/828,699

Art Unit: 3761

endothelium during angioplasty dilatation. Thus, in comparison to prior art balloons that contact the entire endothelium (Fig 5b) and flatten and destroy nearly all of the endothelium (Fig 5b) during angioplasty dilatation the ribs structured cutting members (6, Fig 6c) contact only 10% of the endothelium and only a small part of the endothelium (Fig 5c) is flattened and destroyed. These teachings of Parodi clearly indicate that the ribs (7) are structured to cut and break up lesions during angioplasty dilatation treatment as shown in Fig 5c. The teachings of Parodi can be properly applied to the cutting members of Vigil et al and the rejection is maintained.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ANDREW M. GILBERT whose telephone number is (571)272-7216. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 am to 5:00 pm Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin Sirmons can be reached on (571)272-4965. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3761

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Andrew M Gilbert/ Examiner, Art Unit 3767

/Tatyana Zalukaeva/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3761